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1. INTRODUCTION

With the growing demand for high-quality color images, efficient yet low-complexity methods are increasingly needed for better visualization. However, the existence of the low-contrast effect can lead to a reduction in the ability of the observer to analyze and interpret important information in digital images [5]. Many reasons can lead to the occurrence of this effect, including low-light environment, faulty imaging device, lack of operator skills, poor environmental conditions and so forth [6]. Such effect can be dealt with using various types of contrast enhancement techniques.

The foremost aim of such techniques is to improve the perceived quality and reveal the latent information of a given degraded image so that it becomes visually better for further analysis and interpretation [7]. Currently, most of the available enhancement techniques involve either high-complexity or histogram based operations. In various situations, histogram modification-based techniques can cause inconvenient contrast enhancement, which eventually gives the processed image an unnatural appearance with visual artifacts [8].

Other than histogram equalization [18], there exist various contrast enhancement concepts including, sigmoid function [19], homomorphic filtering [20], log and power-law transformations [21], contrast stretching [22], retinex theory [23], fuzzy set [24] artificial bee colony [25], and so forth. Despite that, there still exist wide scopes for developing low-complexity techniques that are not histogram-based and can produce satisfactory results. Such techniques can be highly beneficial, especially for systems that have limited resources.

Hence, the proposed technique has been developed with the intention of providing an efficient yet fast process for contrast enhancement of...
color images. The processing scheme of the proposed technique is relatively simple. It starts by converting the input color image to grayscale. Then, it automatically computes two contrast tuning parameters depending on the pre-determined grayscale image. Finally, it improves the contrast of the degraded color image using an amended version of an existing contrast stretching technique. Accordingly, its input is a color image and a contrast adjustment parameter \( \delta \), while its output is a contrast-adjusted color image.

To achieve this study, three factors have been taken into consideration. The first factor is the utilized image dataset, in which only real-degraded color images are utilized for experimental and comparison purposes. The reasons behind that are many types of imaging devices that have different hardware and software settings are available, as well as, each captured image has different environmental conditions. Thus, using only real-degraded images can allow a good opportunity to assess the true processing ability of the proposed technique.

The second factor is the employed comparison techniques, in which four advanced techniques of scaling in the discrete cosine transform domain by a quadratic mapping function with blocking artifact removal (TW-CES-BLK) [9], non-parametric modified histogram equalization (NMHE) [10], exposure-based sub image histogram equalization (ESIHE) [11], and recursive exposure based sub image histogram equalization (RESIHE) [12] were used for comparison purposes. The third factor is the utilized image quality assessment (IQA) metrics.

Generally, there exist three types of IQA metrics, namely full-reference, reduced-reference and no-reference [13]. Accordingly, no-reference metrics require only one image as input, while the full-reference metrics usually require two images as input, which is the degraded or processed image and a reference image. In between these two extremes lie the reduced-reference metrics, which require certain information about the reference image, but not the actual reference image itself, in addition to the degraded or processed image [14].

Since only real-degraded images are utilized, only no-reference IQA metrics were used to measure the quality of the obtained results. Accordingly, three eminent metrics of average local contrast (ALC) [15], colorfulness (CFN) [16], and measure of enhancement (EME) [17] were used for such purpose. The organization of this article is as follows. After introducing an adequate overview in Section 1, the proposed technique is fully explained in Section 2. Afterwards, the experimental results with their related discussions are presented in Section 3. Finally, a succinct conclusion is given in Section 4.

### 2. Adjustable Contrast Stretching Technique

The main idea of the proposed technique is based on the concept of linear contrast stretching (LCS), which has been typically used in various image processing applications [1]. There exist many techniques that can achieve LCS, in which their intricacy varies depending on the utilized concept. One of such LCS techniques can be expressed as [2]:

\[
\tilde{W} = \Upsilon (W + \Gamma),
\]

where, \( W \) is a given grayscale image; \( \Upsilon \) and \( \Gamma \) are contrast tuning parameters; \( \tilde{W} \) is a contrast-enhanced image. Although this technique can adjust the dynamic range of an image, it does not always yield satisfactory results, especially for images with major spatial variation in contrast [1]. Moreover, the values of parameters \( \Upsilon \) and \( \Gamma \) are not determined easily, since their determination procedures vary from one application to another.

In addition, this technique has been used basically for grayscale images, but occasionally for color images. Hence, a new adjustable contrast stretching technique is introduced which exploits a modified version of the above LCS technique. In brief, the parameters \( \Upsilon \) and \( \Gamma \) are computed automatically in the proposed technique based on the spatial and statistical information of an estimated grayscale version of the input image.

Furthermore, an additional parameter is added to control the amount of contrast enhancement in the resulting image. Finally, the input image is processed using an amended version of Eq. (1). Going into details, the proposed technique starts by computing the relative luminance, which represents the grayscale version of the input color image. The relative luminance can be determined as follows [3]:

\[
E = 0.2126I_r + 0.7152I_g + 0.0722I_b,
\]

where, \( E \) is the obtained relative luminance image; \( I_r, I_g, I_b \) are the red, green, blue channels of the input color image \( I_{RGB} \). For the sake of contrast improvement, the two contrast tuning parameters are determined automatically to be used later in the enhancement process. The used tuning parameters \( \Gamma \) and \( \Upsilon \) are computed as follows:

\[
\Gamma = \delta \left[ \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n}(E_i - \bar{E})^2 \right],
\]

\[
\Upsilon = 1 + (\Lambda \Gamma),
\]

where, \( \delta \) is a parameter that controls the amount of contrast enhancement and it should fulfill \( \delta > 0 \), in which a higher value leads to further contrast...
enhancement; $E_i$ is a vector version of image $E$; $\bar{E}$ is the mean of $E_i$; $n$ is the number of elements at the longest dimension of $E$; $\Lambda$ is a regularization parameter that helps to avoid the increase of unnecessary whiteness, in which natural whiteness is produced when $\Lambda=1.4$. This value was determined from intensive experiments on various real low-contrast color images. Parameter $\Gamma$ is computed by multiplying $\delta$ by an unbiased sample variance of $E$ [4], while parameter $\Upsilon$ is determined automatically based on the values of $\Lambda$ and $\Gamma$.

The reason behind that is to reduce the number of calculations involved in the proposed technique. Lastly, the contrast of the input color image is processed using the pre-determined tuning parameters with an amended version of Eq. (1). Improving the contrast of image $I_{RGB}$ is achieved using the following equations:

$$T = \Upsilon(I_{RGB} - \Gamma), \quad (5)$$

where, $T$ is the final output of the proposed technique. In Eq. (1), the reason behind changing the $(+)$ operator to $(-)$ is to provide better contrast for the resulting image. To end with, the subsequent pseudo-code is given to provide a precise description regarding the execution specifics of the proposed technique.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this section, the experimental preparations, attained results, comparisons and their related discussions are presented to show the true ability of the proposed technique in processing various degraded images, as well as, to compare its processing ability against several advanced contrast enhancement techniques. Thus, the proposed technique is evaluated using a dataset of different real low-contrast color images collected from various digital repositories across the internet. Furthermore, comparisons are made with four specialized enhancement techniques of TW-CES-BLK, NMHE, ESIHE, RESIHE, and the results of these comparisons are evaluated using three eminent no-reference IQA metrics of CFN, EME, ALC.

These metrics can provide valuable information regarding the actual measure of contrast and colors before and after the application of the enhancement process. Accordingly, the ALC and EME metrics measure the local and global contrast, while the CFN metric measures the lucidity of colors for the assessed image. For all the used metrics, higher values indicate better results in terms of contrast and colors. The results of processing various real-degraded images by the proposed technique are displayed in Fig. 1 and Fig. 2. Furthermore, the results of the conducted comparison between the proposed and the comparative techniques are shown in Fig. 3 and Fig. 4. Likewise, Table 1 demonstrates the scored accuracies of the achieved comparisons, while Fig. 5 shows the analytical graph of the average scores of Table 1.
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Figure 1 – Applying the proposed technique to various real low-contrast color images. (a1-a4) are real-degraded images; (b1-b4) are images processed by the proposed technique with $\delta$ equal to 50, 35, 20 and 6, respectively.
Figure 2 – Applying the proposed technique to various real low-contrast color images. (a1-a4) are real-degraded images; (b1-b4) are images processed by the proposed technique with \( \delta \) equal to 25, 43, 35 and 90, respectively.

Figure 3 – Comparing the proposed technique with various renowned contrast enhancement techniques. (a) real degraded color image; images (b-f) are processed by: (b) NMHE; (c) TW-CES-BLK; (d) ESIHE; (e) RESIHE; (f) proposed technique with \( \delta = 15 \).
Figure 4 – Comparing the proposed technique with various renowned contrast enhancement techniques. (a) real-degraded color image; images (b-f) are processed by: (b) NMHE; (c) TW-CES-BLK; (d) ESIHE; (e) RESIHE; (f) proposed technique with $\delta = 25$.

Table 1: The scored accuracies of the achieved comparisons.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Techniques</th>
<th>Figures</th>
<th>CFN</th>
<th>EME</th>
<th>ALC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Degraded</td>
<td>Fig. 3</td>
<td>38.9599</td>
<td>4.6232</td>
<td>0.2934</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fig. 4</td>
<td>49.8266</td>
<td>9.0852</td>
<td>1.0891</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average</td>
<td>44.3933</td>
<td>6.8542</td>
<td>0.69125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMHE</td>
<td>Fig. 3</td>
<td>42.7032</td>
<td>5.5907</td>
<td>0.4389</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fig. 4</td>
<td>61.1276</td>
<td>18.2470</td>
<td>2.6753</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average</td>
<td>51.9154</td>
<td>11.9189</td>
<td>1.5571</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TW-CES-BLK</td>
<td>Fig. 3</td>
<td>41.1283</td>
<td>3.8004</td>
<td>0.2632</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fig. 4</td>
<td>54.0562</td>
<td>7.8269</td>
<td>1.1264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average</td>
<td>47.5923</td>
<td>5.81365</td>
<td>0.6948</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESIHE</td>
<td>Fig. 3</td>
<td>48.0844</td>
<td>11.7941</td>
<td>0.6651</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fig. 4</td>
<td>62.7667</td>
<td>19.1130</td>
<td>1.8474</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average</td>
<td>55.4256</td>
<td>15.4536</td>
<td>1.25625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RESIHE</td>
<td>Fig. 3</td>
<td>49.9667</td>
<td>12.8859</td>
<td>0.7234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fig. 4</td>
<td>65.2783</td>
<td>21.0232</td>
<td>2.1082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average</td>
<td>57.6225</td>
<td>16.9546</td>
<td>1.4158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Technique</td>
<td>Fig. 3</td>
<td>54.2905</td>
<td>14.3629</td>
<td>0.8736</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fig. 4</td>
<td>66.7583</td>
<td>52.7582</td>
<td>3.9679</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average</td>
<td>60.5244</td>
<td>33.5606</td>
<td>2.42075</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The bold values indicate the best-achieved results.

From the obtained experimental results in Fig. 1 and Fig. 2, it can be seen the proposed technique delivered satisfactory results, as it improved the drably appearance of the degraded images and provided adequate contrast, acceptable colors with no brightness amplification or visible flaws. In addition, the colors came out conspicuously leading the resulting images to have a more natural appearance. Thus, the processed images became clearer and more suitable for real-life usage.

From the comparison results shown in Fig. 3 - Fig. 5 and Table 1, it can be seen that the comparative techniques performed differently, which can be justified in accordance with the variation in the nature of the used images. Regarding the NMHE technique, it gave a moderate
performance as the processed images have minor enhancement when compared to the degraded images. In the resulting images, the brightness is increased in certain regions, the contrast is slightly improved and the colors are marginally enhanced.

Regarding the TW-CES-BLK technique, it gave a low performance as the resulting images appeared somewhat different than the degraded images. Accordingly, the brightness increased globally, the contrast is amended and the colors are slightly enhanced. In addition, this technique improved the sharpness of the resulting images, yet introduced the blocking effect, which is considered undesirable in many image processing applications. Regarding the ESIHE and RESIHE techniques, they gave relatively similar performances, slightly in favor of the RESIHE technique. Accordingly, they both improved the local and global contrast, while the colors increased to an above-average level.

Regarding the proposed technique, it performed the best in terms of recorded accuracy and perceived quality, since it provided visually pleasing results with the highest IQA scores. Accordingly, it did not amplify the brightness while improving the contrast and produced ameliorated colors for the resulting images. These facts can be observed by comparing the IQA results of the degraded and the processed images, where there are noticeable differences between such results in favor of the proposed technique. However, like many available image processing techniques, the proposed technique contains one parameter (in this case \( \delta \)), whose value must be entered manually. Such practice is followed to provide the user with more control over the processing ability of the used technique. As a future work, a suitable method can be developed to calculate the value of \( \delta \) automatically. Improving the contrast of color images using a low-complexity technique is a challenging task. However, such task is successfully achieved in this study by providing a new technique that can improve the contrast using simple calculations. Finally, it is expected to extend the use of this technique to other existing image processing applications.

4. CONCLUSION

A new adjustable contrast stretching technique is introduced in this article, in which it is developed based on the concept of linear contrast stretching to improve the contrast of color images using few calculations. Accordingly, it is tested with various real low-contrast color images, compared with four advanced contrast enhancement techniques and the quality of the obtained results is evaluated using three eminent IQA metrics. From the obtained results, it is obvious that the proposed technique provided satisfactory results, as it produced natural contrast images with no visible artifacts and outperformed the comparative techniques by scoring the highest in terms of recorded accuracy. Thus, it is confirmed that the proposed technique is well suited for contrast enhancement of color images and can be further used in many image processing applications.
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